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Group M definitely had some inconsistencies in its efforts. I feel that the person who contributed the most, and whose contributions were the most valuable to the overall success of this project, came from Annecca. She was the one who initialized the production of the proposal, and thought about what our entire project would be about. Annecca was also the only one who truly knew how to operate R, and helped me understand collinearity.

I contributed less than Annecca, but without my contribution, certain aspects of the project would have never gotten done. I edited the initial proposal and looked over every aspect of the project as we finished them, checking for clarity and conciseness. I was also the one who put together the data frame, and manually inputted data point after data point. I singlehandedly created the handout, and assisted with the production of the powerpoint. The last two sections of the report were also written by me.

Lea contributed the least. She did not communicate very well with the group, had absolutely nothing to do with the creating of the proposal, the data frame, or helping Annecca and I analyze the data. She finally showed up to the team at the very end, and all she did was create the powerpoint (which Annecca and I completely revamped because it was lacking material). It was clear she really did not understand statistics, and therefore she was not able to contribute very much.

I would say that Annecca did about 50% of the work, I did 40% and Lea did 10%.